Optimization of Process Variables for the Biodephosphorization of Iron ore using Leptospirillum Ferrooxidans

T. O. Chime

Nigeria

Abstract– An attempt has been made in this study to optimize the processing parameters for the biodephosphorization and bio beneficiation using leptospirillum ferrooxidans. Standard central composite design with 2^2 full fractional was used to develop the models. The models were optimized using matlab. The surface response of the plots shows that microbial population and leaching time interacted effectively to enhance the degree of biodephosphorization and biobeneficiation. The results show that 92.21% of biodephosphorization was obtained at optimum values of 67 days and 10^8 microbial population and 71 days were obtained for 50.98% degree of biobeneficiation.

Keywords– Biodephosphorization, Biobeneficiation, Leptospirillum Ferrooxidans and Surface Response

I. INTRODUCTION

Iron is one of the largest elements in the earth's crust, being the fourth most abundant element at about 5% by weight. The Nigerian mineral appraisal and monetization programme NIMAMOP, 1996, identified about 33 solid mineral commodities including Iron at various stages of exploration and exploitation and which occur in about 450 locations nationwide (Rahaman, 2004).

Iron ore resources are fast depleting as a result of pressure originating from population and industrial development requirement. However there exist large stock piles of low and lean grade ores to be mined of which Agbaja iron is one of such ores. The ore is low grade because of the high phosphorus content (Chime, et al 2011).

Phosphorus, which is frequently found as constituent of iron ore, is deleterious in the manufacture of iron and steel. If steel is produced at high level of phosphorus that steel will be brittle and can easily crack hence the need for its removal. Heat treatment and subsequent leaching is a way for upgrading high-P iron ores (Field et al, 1968, Gooden et al, 1974; Muhammed and Zhang, 1989, Kokal, 1990; Araujo et al; 1994, Cheng et al, 1999, Kokal et al, 2003).

In recent times, an increase in world steel production has increased demand for iron ore with a consequent increase in the price for this commodity, making hydrometallurgical phosphate removal viable (Kokal et al, 2003).

Biohydrometallurgy is an option for the removal of unwanted phosphate from iron ores because it is well established that many microorganisms, especially nutrient – limited environments, are capable of mobilizing the phosphorus contained in minerals (Banfield et al, 1999; Nautiyal, 1999). Some studies have been published on phosphate removal from iron ores using acid – producing microorganisms, including filamentous fungi (Parks et al, 1990, Bius, 1995) and iron – oxidizing bacteria (He and Wei, 2000). Mobilisation of phosphorus from iron ore using Burkholderia Caribensis (Fe GlO₃) a bacterium has been reported (Delvasto et al, 2009).

Recent upsurge in the biohydrometallurgical processes is motivated by the fact that they are efficient and suitable for metal extraction from low grade ores, moreover this is a less energy intensive and an environmentally safer process (Torma and Besecker, 1982).

The microorganisms used in these previous studies were mostly isolated from sources other than the ore to be treated. From practical point of view, the use of microorganisms indigenous to an ore can have some advantages in bioleaching in terms of either adaptation time of the strains to a bioleaching process or in ecological disruption in the surrounding area in an in situ biobeneficiation (Delvasto et al, 2005; Delvasto et al, 2006).

In this study a bacterium, Leptospirillium Ferrooxidans harvested from the Agbaja iron ore was used to remove phosphorus from the iron ore. Standard central composite design of 2 full factorial design was used to develop model equations. The developed model equations were optimized using Matlab.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The iron ore sample was obtained from Agbaja, Kogi state, Nigeria. The iron ore was crushed with hammer mill into finer size and sieved to obtain a particle size of 60 microns. 20 kilograms of the iron ore was weighed out. It was divided into two parts of 14 kilograms and 6 kg respectively. The 6 kilograms was subjected to immediate microbial and chemical analysis. The remaining 14kilograms portion was subjected to desliming and subsequent post desliming chemical and microbial analyses.

A. Microbial reagents

A 25g of dehydrated nutrient Agar was dissolved in one litre of distilled water and the solution heated in a water bath until completely dissolved and then dispersed in 25ml bottles,

corked and autoclaved at 120° C for 15minutes. The sterile molten nutrient Agar was poured into sterile Petri dishes and allowed to solidify. They were then oven dried in the incubator at 50° C for 15minutes and thereafter incubated for 24 hours to ensure complete sterility before use.

B. Microbial Culture

The raw iron ore was mixed with sterilized water and stirred vigorously with a glass stirring rod. A loopful of the raw ore suspension was streaked on the oven dried sterile nutrient Agar in a Petri dish with sterile inoculating loop preheated to redness. The streaked culture Petri dish was incubated at 37^{0} C for 24 hours. Incubation for 24 hours yielded moderate growth in the culture plate. On examination with a microscope five colonies of distinctive features were revealed.

C. Isolation of bacteria

A streak was taken from each colony forming unit and transferred into 20ml Agar slant tube for pure culture. Pure cultures obtained from the first colony was properly stored in an incubator at 37^{0C} for onward identification and confirmation tests

D. Preparation of innoculum and leaching of deslimed sample

A standard culture of 10^9 cells/ml was made from pure culture in the Agar slant containing leptospirillum Ferrooxidans. The 10⁹ cells/ml standard culture solution was made by pouring 5ml of sterile water on the surface of the Agar slant and stirred. It is then poured into sterilized test tube. Another 5ml of sterile water was again poured on the surface of Agar slant and stirred again and this is poured into the same test tube. This results to 10ml concentration of microorganism in the sterilized test tube and this forms the standard 10⁹ cell/ml colony forming unit of Leptospirillum Ferrooxidans. Subsequent serial dilutions were made from the standard culture to obtain 10^8 , 10^7 , 10^6 , 10^5 , 10^4 , 10^3 , 10^2 and 10¹microbial populations. Each of the microbial population was innoculated into 2.5g of deslimed iron ore and put into incubating bottles. These samples were incubated for 8, 16, 24, 32, 40, 48, 56, 64, 72, 80, and 88 days at 25°C. Each of the sample was analyzed

Degree of biodephosphorization (%)
=
$$As deslimed value P(wt\%) - Final value P(wt\%) x \frac{100}{1}$$

As deslimed value P(wt\%) 1

Degree of biobeneficiation (%)

=

E. Development of statistical multivariable model

Standard central composite design (CCD) with 2^2 full factorial design were employed. This was constructed from 2^{m-1} design for cube portion, which is augmented with centre points and star points.

$$\begin{split} N &= k^{m-t} + 2 \ m + No & \dots(1) \\ K &= \text{level of experiment} = 2 \\ M &= \text{total number of variables } (2: x_1, x_2) \\ t &= \text{The degree of fractionality,} \\ t &= 0 \ \text{for } m < 4 \end{split}$$

No = centre points added = 3

 $N = 2^{(2-0)} + 2 x 2 + 3 = 11$ runs The model equation for the experiment is proposed as

$$\begin{split} Y_n &= b_o + b_1 x_1 + b_2 x_2 + b_3 x_3 + b_{12} x_1 x_2 + b_{13} x_1 x_3 + \\ & b_{23} x_2 x_3 + b_{11} x_1^2 + b_{22} x_2^2 + b_{33} x_2^2 \qquad \dots (2) \end{split}$$

The coefficients were obtained using the following equations:

bo = a
$$\sum_{u=1}^{N} yu + P \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{u=1}^{N} x^2 ju yu$$
 ...(3)

$$b_{1=}e\sum_{u=1}^{N}x_{1}u yu$$
 ... (4)

$$b_2 = e \sum_{\mu=I}^{N} x_2 u y u \dots (5)$$

$$b_3 = e \sum_{\mu=I}^{N} x_3 u yu$$
(6)

$$b_{12} = g \sum_{u=1}^{N} x_1 u x_2 u y u \qquad ...(7)$$

$$b_{13} = g \sum_{u=1}^{N} x_1 u x_3 u yu \dots (8)$$

$$b_{23} = g \sum_{u=1}^{N} x_2 u x_3 u yu \dots (9)$$

 $b_{11} =$

$$c \sum_{u=1}^{N} x_{1u}^{2} yu + d \left[\sum_{u=1}^{N} x_{2u}^{2} yu + \sum_{u=1}^{N} x_{3u}^{2} yu \right] + P \sum_{u=1}^{N} yu$$
... (10)

$$b_{22} = c \sum_{u=1}^{N} x_{2u}^{2} yu + d \left[\sum_{u=1}^{N} x_{1u}^{2} yu + \sum_{u=1}^{N} x_{3u}^{2} yu \right] + P \sum_{u=1}^{N} yu \dots (11)$$

$$b_{33} = c \sum_{u=1}^{N} x_{3u}^2 yu + d \left[\sum_{u=1}^{N} x_{1u}^2 yu + \sum_{u=1}^{N} x_2^2 yu \right] + P \sum_{u=1}^{N} yu$$
... (12)

F. Design of experiment for leaching

Statistically designed experiment for leaching treatment based on Central Composite Design Plan of 2^2 Number of variables = 2 Number of star points = 4 Number of centre points = 3

 X_1 = microbial Populations (10' cells/ml - 10⁸ cell/ml) X_2 = Leaching time (8days - 88days)

Table 2.1 experimental range and level of independent variables

Independent	Lower	Base	Upper
Variables	level	level	level
	- 1	0	+ 1
X ₁	10 ¹	10^{4}	10 ⁸ cells/ml
-	cells/ml	cells/ml	
X ₂	8days	48days	88days

G. Design matrix and responses

	X 1	X2	yu(P)%	yu(Fe)%
			Biodephosphorization	Biobeneficiation
1	0	0	79.75	43.50
2	-1	-1	01.26	1.86
3	+1	-1	16.46	2.66
4	0	0	79.75	43.50
5	-1	+1	79.75	46.33
6	+1	+1	82.28	47.48
7	0	0	79.75	43.50
8	-1	0	79.75	43.45
9	+1	0	82.28	44.57
10	0	-1	05.05	1.89
11	0	+1	82.28	46.38

H. Developed model equation for Biodephosphorization

 $yu = 79.75 + 1.47 x_1 + 38.39 x_2 - 1.27 x_1 x_2 - 37.98 x_2^2$...(14)

I. Developed model equation for Biobeneficiation

J. Optimization programs using Matlab

```
For phosphorus for Leptospirillum Ferrooxidans.

>> A=[1 1];

>> b=[2];

>> lb=[-1;-1];

>> ub=[1;1];

>> x0=[0;0];

>>

[x,fval,exitflag,output]=fmincon(@leaching1,x0,A,b,[],[],lb,u

b)

x = 1.0000 0.4677 fval = 92.2126 exitflag = 1

output =

iterations: 3

funcCount: 15
```

stepsize: 1
algorithm: 'medium-scale: SQP, Quasi-Newton, linesearch'
firstorderopt: 7.8512e-007
cgiterations: []
message: [1x144 char]
For Iron for Leptospirillum Ferrooxidans.
>> A=[1 1];
>> b=[2];
>> lb=[-1;-1];
>> ub=[1;1];
>> x0=[0;0];
>>

[x,fval,exitflag,output]=fmincon(@leaching1,x0,A,b,[],[],lb,u b) x =

1.0000 0.5762 fval = 50.9802 exitflag = 1 output = iterations: 4 funcCount: 19 stepsize: 1 algorithm: 'medium-scale: SQP, Quasi-Newton, linesearch' firstorderopt: 5.0157e-007 cgiterations: [] message: [1x144 char] >> [x1,x2] = meshgrid(-1:.05:1, -1:.05:1);

>> $z=43.56+0.52*x1+22.30*x2+0.09*x1.*x2+0.45*x1.^{2}-19.43*x2.^{2};$ >> surfc(x1,x2,z)

>> surr

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 3.1: Chemical analysis of Agbaja Iron ore before desliming

Component	Average Composition (wt%)
Fe	56.00
SiO ₂	5.16
S	0.12
Al_2O_3	6.60
CaO	0.23
MgO	0.07
MnO	0.18
TiO ₂	0.37
K ₂ O	0.04
Р	0.80
H ₂ O	2.60

Table 3.2: Chemical analysis of Agbaja iron ore after desliming

Component	Average Composition (wt%)
Fe	56.34
SiO ₂	5.02
S	0.09
Al ₂ O ₃	5.20
CaO	0.21
MgO	0.03
MnO	0.17
TiO ₂	0.32
K ₂ O	0.007
Р	0.79
H ₂ O	2.81

Table 3.3:	Result	of micro	bial c	haracterizat	tion of	Leptospiri	llum
		1	Ferro	vidane			

T UTTO OMICAL	10		
Stain	Gram Stain		
Shape	Spiral, discoid		
Arrangement	Single		
Colour	Purple		
Stain character	Positive		
Presumptive test	Spirillum specie		
	suspective		
Confirmatory test Coagulase	-		
Manitol	+		
Litmus milk	Acidic		
Nitrate reductase	-		
Fe oxidase	+		
Phosphorus reductase	+		
Sulphide oxidase	_		
Nitrate reductase Fe oxidase Phosphorus reductase Sulphide oxidase	- + + -		

Table 3.1 depicts the chemical analysis of as received Agbaja iron ore. The iron content shows that the iron ore, if properly beneficiated and dephosphorized can be used in steel making. The high phosphorus content explains the reason why the iron ore has not been exploited.

The chemical analysis of deslimed iron ore is shown in Table 3.2. The desliming process increased the degree of

beneficiation from 56% to 56.34% and degree of dephosphorization from 0.80% to 0.79%. It can be inferred that desliming removes extraneous materials like sand and dirts but contributes insignificantly to beneficiation and dephosphorization.

Table 3 shows the preliminary and confirmatory tests of the organism. The gram test showed spiral discoid shape under microscope. The bacterium is arranged in single form and the colour is purple. The stain character is positive showing gram positive specie. The spirillum specie is suspected as a result of gram stain test.

The confirmatory test showed that coagulace, nitrate reductase and sulphide oxidase tested positive while the test for manitol, iron oxidase and phosphorus reductase tested negative. The organism is gram positive organism which tested positive to acid test, confirming the bacterium as Leptospirillum Ferrooxidans.

A. Influence of leaching time on degree of biodephosphorization at $25^{\circ}c$

In Figure 1 the influence of leaching time is shown. In nutrient limited environments, bacterial must colonize mineral surfaces where phosphate is located in order to scavenge (Banfield et al, 1999). They accomplish this through biofilm formation. Biofilms are complex aggregates of bacterial cells, bacterial exopolymers, mineral debris and other metabolites attached to a surface.

Between the 8th day and 16th day the amount of phosphorus removed was 16.46% and 18.99% respectively for microbial population of 10^1 cells/ml. The percentage removal of phosphorus was small due to the fact that Lag phase predominates implying that cells division has not fully commenced. The degree of biodephosphorization for the 32nd day was 74.68% implying full mobilization of Leptospirillum Ferrooxidans. The rate of biodephosphorization increased experimentally from 16thday. This exponential growth rate continues until the 40th day where the maximum rate of



Fig. 1: Influence of leaching time on the percentage degree of biodephosphorization for Leptospirillum Ferrooxidans

www.ijmse.org

biodephosphorization of 81.01% was achieved. A fairly constant degree of phosphorus removal was achieved between 40th day and 88th day. This is due to the dynamic balance between growth and death of leptospirillum Ferrooxidans during metabolism. The work of Delvasto et al (2009) using Burkholderia Caribensis FeGIO₃ posted a maximum of 20% degree of dephosphorization in 21 days. This work posted 30% degree of biodephosphorization in 21 days.

B. Effects of microbial population on degree of biodephosphorization at 25°C

Figure 2 represents the influence of microbial population on the degree of biodephosphorization for Leptospirillum Ferrooxidans. From microbial population of 10¹ cells/ml, there was a steady increase of degree of biodephosphorization for 8 days, 16 days, 24 days and 32 days profile as shown in the figure. The degree of biodephosphorization was fairly constant between 40days and 88 days as the microbial population increases. It can be inferred that increase in the quantity of phosphorus removed.

C. Influence of leaching time on the degree of beneficiation

The influence of leaching time on the percentage degree of bio beneficiation is shown in figure 3. From 8days to 24 days the degree of biobeneficiation slightly increases due to the lag phases of the between 24days and 44days there was a substantial increases of the iron constant showing full mobilization of leptospirillum ferrooxidans. The degree of biobeneficiation was fairly constant between 48 days and 88 days. This is as a result of dynamic balance between growth and death rates of leptospirillum ferrooxidans.

D. Influence of Microbial population on the degree of biobeneficiation

In Figure 4 the influence of microbial population on degree of biobeneficiation is represented. Released metals can be accumulated in biofilms by complexation with active moieties present in exopolymeric substances (Corzo et al, 1994, Conte et al, 2006). This accounts for the initial drop in the degree of biobeneficiation between 8th day and 24th day of treatment as shown in Figure 4. There was an infinitesimal change in biobeneficiation as the microbial population increases. This trend was observed for the rest of the leaching times. But the highest beneficiation was 33% at microbial population of 10⁸ as shown in Figure 4.

E. Interactions of process variables and surface responses

The design matrix is depicted in Table 2.2. The process variables x_1 leaching time and x_2 microbial population were taken as independent variables while percentage degrees of biodephosphorization and biobeneficiation were the output responses. The analysis is based on how the percentage degrees of biodephosphorization and biobeneficiation are influenced by independent variables in order to study the surface responses.

Figure 5 shows the surface response plot for removal of phosphorus using time and microbial population variables. The response shows that the leaching time affected the degree of biodephosphorization than the microbial population. The optimum value is located at the dark red portion.

In Figure 6 the surface response plot for beneficiation is depicted. The figure shows that the leaching interacted effectively with microbial concentration. The surface response shows that leaching time responded better than microbial population.



Fig. 2: Influence of Microbial Population degree of biodephosphorization of Agbaja Iron Ore for Leptospirillum Ferrooxidans



Fig. 3: Influence of leaching time on the % degree of biobeneficiation for microbial population for Leptospirillum Ferrooxidans





Fig. 4: Influence of microbial population on degree of biobeneficiation

Independent Variables	Ftest	Coded Values	Real Values	Optimum Biodephosphorization %
X ₁ leaching time	0.99	0.4677	67 days	92.21%
X ₂ Microbial		1.000	10^{8}	
Population		1.000	cells/ml	

Table 3.4: Optimum parameters from developed model equation (Biodephosphorization)

	Table 3.5: Optimum	parameters	from develo	ped model e	equation (Biobeneficiation)
--	--------------------	------------	-------------	-------------	------------	-------------------

Independent	Etect	Coded	Real	Optimum
Variables	riesi	Values	Values	Biobeneficiation %
X ₁ leaching	0.99	0.5762	71 days	50.98%
time			,	
X ₂ Microbial		1 000	10^{8}	
Population		1.000	cells/ml	



Fig. 5: Percentage removal of phosphorus using Leptospirillum Ferrooxidans (time and concentration as variables)



Fig. 6: Percentage gain of Iron using Leptospirillum Ferrooxidans (time and concentration as variables)

Table 3.4 represents the optimum parameters for bio dephosphorization. The result shows that 92.21% degree of biodephosphorization was obtained at optimum value of 67 days and 10 cells/ml. This shows that leptospirillum ferrooxidans was effectively mobilized in the course of biodephosphorization. The F_{test} obtained helped in determination of the adequacy for the model.

Similarly, Table 3.5 depicts the optimum values for biobeneficiation. 50.98% percentage degree of

biobeneficiation was obtained at optimum values of 10^8 cells/ml and 71days.

IV. CONCLUSION

Biodephosphorization and biobeneficiation have been carried out on Agbaja Iron ore successfully using leptospirillum. Ferrooxidans. The models developed using central composite design was adequate. The surface response plots showed that leaching time and microbial population interacted effectively. On optimization of the models the degrees of biodephosphorization and biobeneficiation of 92.21% and 50.98% were respectively obtained.

REFERENCES

- A. Araujo, D. Fonseca, and C. Souza, Hydrometallurgical routes for the reduction of phosphorus in iron ore. In : Wilkomirsky, I., Sanchez, M. and Hecker, C. (Eds). A Sutulov memorial volume, Vol III, Chemical Metallurgy. Iv meeting of the southern Hemisphere on Mineral Technology, Universidad de Concepcion, Concepcion Chile. (1994), 83 – 92.
- A.E. Torma, and K. Bosecker, Bacterial leaching. Programme of Industrial Micro biology. 16, (1982), 77 – 118.
- C. Cheng, V. Misra, J. Clough, and R. Mum, Dephosphorization of Western Australian iron ore by hydrometallurgical process. Mineral Engineering, 12, (1999), 1083 – 1092.
- C. Nautiyal, An efficient Microbiological growth medium for screening phosphate solubilizing microorganisms. FEMS microbial lett. 170, (1999), 265 – 270.
- E. Parks, G. Olson, F. Brinkman, and F. Baldi, Characterization by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) of the solubilization of phosphorus in iron ore by a fungus. Journal of industrial microbiology. 5, (1990), 183 – 196.
- H.R. Kokal, M.P. Singh, and V. Naydyonov, Removal of phosphorus from Lisa kovsky iron ore by roast – leach process. Hydrometallurgy – proceedings of the 5th international symposium, Vol, 2 Vancouver, B. C. Canada. The minerals, metals and materials, society (T M S) Warrandale PA 15086, USA (2003), 1517 – 1530.
- H.R. Kokal, The origin of phosphorus in iron making raw materials and methods of removal. A review in proceedings of the 63rd Annual meeting. The Minnesota section AIME and 51st Annual Mining symposium, Duluth- Minnesota (1990), 225 258.
- I. Feld, T. Franklin, and M. Lampkin, Process for removing phosphorus from iron ores. US patent No. 3, (1968), 402, 041.
- I.J. He, and D.Z. Wei, Research on biodephosphorization of Melshan high phosphorus Iron ore. Mineral metallurgy (China) 9, (2000), 31 – 35.
- J. Banfield, W. Barker, S. Welch, and A. Taunton, Biological impact on mineral dissolution: Application of the Lichen model to understanding mineral weathering in the rhizosphere. Proceeding of national academy of science USA 96, (1999), 3404 – 3411.

- J. Corzo, M. Leon Barrios, V. Hermando Rico, and A. Gutierrez Navarro, Precipitation of metallic cation by the acidic expolysaccharides from Bradyrhizobium (Chamaecytisus) strain BGA – 1 – Applied Environmental Microbiology. 60, (1994) 4531 – 4536.
- J. Gooden, W. Waller, and R. Allen, ADEMPHOS- a chemical process for decomposition of iron ore. Proceedings of the National Chemical Engineering conference, process industries in Australia – impact and growth sinters paradise, Queensland, Australia (1974), 38 – 49.
- M. Muhammed, and Y. Zhang, A hydrometallurgical process for the dephosphorization of iron ore. Hydrometallurgy 21, (1989), 277 – 292.
- M.A.O. Rahaman, Nigeria's solid mineral endowment and sustainable development, 2nd Mosobalaje Oyawoye Endowed faculty of science lecture University of Ilorin, Ilorin Nigeria. (2004).
- P. Bius, Bioremediation techniques for the removal of phosphorus from iron ore PhD. Dissertation. Mining Engineering. Michigan Technological University USA (1995), 129.
- P. Delvalsto, A. Ballister, J.A. Munoz, F. Gonzalez, M.L. Blazquez, and C. Garcia, Exploring the possibilities of biological beneficiation of iron ores: The phosphorus problem. In proceedings of 15th steelmaking conference, 5th Iron making conference and 1st Environment and recycling symposium IAS. Argentinean steel making Institute San Nicolas, Buenos Aires, Argentina (2005), pp. 71–82.
- P. Delvasto, A. Ballister, C. Garcia, J.M. Igual, J.A. Munoz, F. Gonzalez, and M.L. Blazquez, Mineral phosphate solubility activity of iron ore associated microflora. In: Mendez Vilas, A(Ed). Modern multidisplinary. Applied microbiology. Exploring microbes and their interactions Wiley VCH Weinhein, Germany (2006), 241 245.
- P. Delvasto, J.A. Ballester, F. Munoz, F. Gonzalez, M.L. Biazque₂, J.M. Igual, and A. Valverde, Mobilization of phosphorus from iron ore by the bacterium Burkhoderia caribensis (FeGLO₃). Minerals Engineering Elsevier (2009).
- S. Coute, C. Gulband, and M. Banudu, Relationship between protocols for activated sludge extracellular polymeric substance (EPS) and (EPS) complication properties part 1. Comparism of the efficiency of eight EPS extraction methods Enzyme microbiology Technology 38, (2006), pp. 237–245.
- T.O. Chime, O.D. Onukwuli, and M.C. Menkiti, Biodephosphorization of Iron ore using Acidothiobacillus ferrooxidans New York Science Journal 4 (1) (2011), 1–6.