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Abstract—The main purpose behind conducting the study is to investigate the relationship between work life balance, job stress and job satisfaction among university teachers. The study has been undertaken among teachers of university with reference to city of Gujrat. A sample comprises of 171 teachers has been chosen from Hafiz Hayat Campus of University of Gujrat. Random Sampling method has been used as sampling technique for the study. Questionnaire is the tool used for collecting data for the research. Data has been analyzed through Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS). Descriptive Statistics, Correlation and Regression analysis has been applied to draw the results of the study. The findings of the study indicate that there is insignificant relationship between job stress and job satisfaction which prove H1 hypothesis whereas work life balance share a moderate positive relationship with job satisfaction which are in accordance to hypothesis H2. Results of the study is helpful for educational institutions as well as teachers to get better understanding about relationship exist between job stress, work life balance and job satisfaction thus contributing toward their performance improvement.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Work and Family are two important aspects of an individual’s life. Changes in the workplace and employees demographic have driven the attention of many researchers toward the boundary between work and family life of employees. The main purpose behind conducting the study is to investigate the relationship of work life balance and job stress with job satisfaction among university teachers. Work life balance is an important topic of discussion in the business world. Managing work with family is an important issue as it has decisive challenges for organizations, employees and researchers. There occur a dramatic shift in the obligation of work and family life due to change in the political, social and economic values. Individuals have to deal with and acclimatize to the conflict of inter roles which has resulted from shifts in the area of work and family.

(Frone & Rice, 1987). “A state where an individual manages real or potential conflict between different demands on his or her time and energy in a way that satisfies his or her needs for well being and self fulfillment is referred as work life balance” (Clutterbuck, 2003).

Satisfaction and stress both are considered to be originated from work and family lives of individuals. Globalization has altered the working environment that has lead to restructuring of jobs, greater the workload demand, part time and insecurity of job. It is perceived strongly that work is an ultimate source of stress and distress among individuals. Job stress influence employee's physical as well as mental condition, it also has considerable influence on satisfaction level of employees their performance and level of absenteeism. (Tennant, 2001) Stress is the imbalance between demands perceived and resources a person have (Byrnes, 2003).

Job satisfaction is of vital importance for efficiency of an organization as well as its effectiveness. “Job satisfaction is referred to as a situation made up of any psychological, environmental and physiological combination that makes an individual trustfully says he is satisfied of his job”. (Hoppock, 1935).

Academic profession incorporates demands that are potentially challenging along with wide range of responsibilities. Individuals belongs to the profession experience much more problems than any other profession in maintaining their work life with personal life effectively. Job of academics produce strain as a result makes it difficult for them to meet their social, family and work obligations efficiently. Strain experienced by Academics in performing family and work role results in outcome like reducing productivity of workers, increasing absenteeism and high turnover rate among employees. The most important influence to be notice is increase in the level of dissatisfaction in academics and they complain their institutions do not corporate with them in achieving a fair balance between work and personal lives. (Fisher, 1994). A successful educational institute is guaranteed by the level of satisfaction among the
staff. Quality of teaching at university level cannot be attained without highly satisfied teachers (Qayyum, 2013).

In past few years there is tremendous increase in the number of universities in Pakistan which have increase the level of problems and pressure for academics. Organization are experiencing alternation in their working environment resulted from shifts in economic, demographic as well as social factors and academics are not out of its influence. These changes have confronted academics to job stress which will ultimately affect their satisfaction and work life balance.

The main purpose behind conducting the study is to investigate the relationship of work life balance and job stress with job satisfaction among university teachers with reference to university of Gujrat Hafiz Hayat Campus to get an insight into these issues.

A. Problem Statement

The relationship between work and family life termed as work life balance has become an important topic of discussion for current government, practitioners and academicians. (Warhurst, Elkhof, & Haunschild, 2008). Issue of managing workplace needs with personal life needs is gaining importance among workers all over the world and academics of higher education institutions are not out of it. (Stanton, Noor, & Young, 2009). Teaching is a very dignified profession. The success of any nation ultimately depends upon its students and how they are getting education. It is not possible that a professors under stress, with a sense of dissatisfaction with the job and having lack of balance in their work and family lives will produce good students. Lack of concern towards teacher’s issues and problems is perhaps due to fewer awareness and understanding about them. The study is therefore conducting with a view to throw light on the relationship between work life balance, job stress and job satisfaction among university teacher. The knowledge about these issues will lead to creation of awareness and thus development of solution for the problems faced by university teachers.

B. Objectives

Fulfillment of following objectives is the main purpose behind conducting the research:

Main Objective

To find out the relationship of work life balance and job stress with job satisfaction among university teachers in Pakistan.

Sub Objectives

- To find out the level of work life balance, job stress and job satisfaction.
- To find out the relationship between job stress and job satisfaction.
- To find out the relationship between work life balance and job satisfaction.

II. Literature Review

A. Job Satisfaction

Job Satisfaction from long period of time has been an area of interest for many researchers because of its vital role in organizations effectiveness (Maslow, 1954). Job satisfaction is a concept which is versatile and complex different people perceives it differently. It’s often considered in context of motivation but it’s quite apart from it. It’s more like a person’s perspicacity, internal feeling and emotion that could be linked to things like a person feeling of achieving something (Mullins, 2005). There are two type of attitude toward the job one is positive other is negative. (Abdul, Ismail, & Jaffar, 2010). It is an established fact around the globe that a person have positive attitude toward job if he has high level of job satisfaction whereas a personal have negative attitude toward job if he is dissatisfaction from the job. People use the term employees’ attitude mostly as an interchangeable word to satisfaction of employees from their job (P.Robbins & Coulter, 2004).

B. Work Life Balance

Work-life balance is the degree to which an individual is involved in and satisfied equally with their job and personal roles (Saikia, 2011). Work life balance for individuals belongs to educational institutions are of great importance as it create knowledge for all sectors of society. Lack of balance in family and work life among academics will be harmful for all other sectors. (Greenhaus, Collins, & Shaw, 2003) According to (Veenhoven, 1991) effective balance in job and personal life makes a person more content and happier. It has been revealed in various studies that a higher desire to achieve more lead people to make extreme efforts that increase their working timing and they lost their work life balance. It ultimately reduces level of satisfaction among professional and increased the level of stress experienced by them. (Beehr & . Newman, 1978). It is evident that integrating and maintain work life balance into our lives is the current need of the hour. (Mukhtar, 2012).

C. Job Stress

Stress arises when individual doubt his capability to deal with threats to their well being as well as his ability to fulfill demands accurately which are being made on him (Lazarus, 1966). Conflict between employee’s demands of job and degree of control employee can exert to accomplish these demands results in a harmful mental and physical response which is defined as “Workplace Stress”. (Arandelović & Ilić, 2006)

Stress in the workplace is costly and keep on increasing. Causes of stress in the workplace are many that involve a complex combination of social, physical and psychological elements. Stress is difficult to measure as it influence individuals differently. Stress has a strong relationship with unhappiness as well as ill health among people. (Blaug, Kenyon, & Lekhi, 2007).

Stress is not just a part of the job, a thing to be ignored or a price paid up for career success it is found to be a cause of various stress related illness either directly or indirectly.
Unhealthy work environment effect employee’s health both physically and mentally. Many organizations seen stress as an indication of weakness and in order to avoid negative brunt it hidden. Stress is mostly ignored by people who are at the position to manage it. (Melanie Bickford, 2005)

Sources of stress among teacher are work load, relationship with colleagues, role conflict, role ambiguity, discipline problem, time pressure, bad working condition, self respect, inadequate support from friend, family and colleagues of low motivation among students, (Detert, Caravella, Derosia, & Duquette, 2006).

D. Relationship between Work Life balance, Job Stress and Job Satisfaction

Nadeem & Abbas (2009) conducted a study in Pakistan to analyze the relationship between work life and job satisfaction. Data is collected from 157 employees of public and private sector through questionnaire. Data is analyzed through Correlation, Regression and Descriptive analysis. The research results indicate that job stress is negatively correlated to stress at job, family to work interfaces and job conflict. Work overload does not influence job satisfaction. Positive relationship exists between Job autonomy and job satisfaction.

Mcnall, Masuda, & Nicklin (2010) conducted the study to analyze the relationship between flexible work arrangement and job satisfaction. Data is collected from 220 employees. Data is analyzed through regression analysis. Results of the study indicate that greater the flexible work arrangements provided more will be the satisfaction employee will have from their jobs.

Saif, Malik, & Awan (2011) conducted research in Pakistan to analyze relationship work life balance practices have with job satisfaction. Data is collected from 450 layoff supervisors from two large organizations in Pakistan through questionnaire. The results reveal that work life balance practices and level of job satisfaction share a Positive relationship.

Rani, Kamalanabhan, & Selvarani (2011) conducted the study to evaluate the relationship between work life balance and employee satisfaction. Data is collected from 210 respondents in IT organizations through questionnaire. Multiply regression analysis was applied to drive the results. Results indicated job satisfaction have positive relationship with work life balance and negative relationship with work recognition, relationship with subordinate & supervisor and task at work.

V.Varatharaj & Vasantha (2012) conducted the study to examine relationship job satisfaction have with work life balance in women. Data is collected from 250 Service Sectors working women in Chennai city through questionnaire. Data is analyzed through, Correlation, Chi-Square test, Wallis Test and Kruskals. Result shows strong positive relationship exists between job satisfaction and work life balance.

Fatima & Rehman (2012) conducted research to examine role ambiguity and role conflict effect on employee’s job satisfaction as well as leaving intention. Data is gathered from 120 teachers from Rawalpindi and Islamabad universities in Pakistan. SPSS and Regression Analysis are used to analyze the data. The results indicate that job role conflict and role ambiguity are negatively related to job satisfaction and positively related to job leaving intentions.

Quarat-ul-ain, khattak, & Iqbal (2013) conducted the study to examine the relationship of job satisfaction with role conflict and impact of job stress on the relationship in private banking sectors employee in Pakistan. Data is collected from 350 employees through questionnaire. Data is analyzed using SPSS, Correlation and ANOVA test. Study results shows that role conflict share a positive relationship with job stress and negative relationship with job satisfaction.

R.Gayathiri & Ramakrishnan (2013) conducted study to investigate the concept of quality of work life and to analyze nature of relationship it have with job satisfaction. The result indicates that the concept of work life quality is multidimensional and it influence employee’s use of skills, knowledge, relationship with other and professional interaction and collaboration. Positive relationship exists between job satisfaction and quality of work.

Yadav & Dabhade (2013) conducted research to analyze the relationship that exists between work life balance and job satisfaction of the working women. Sample is collected from education sector and banking sector. Data is collected from 150 women employees 75 women from each sector. Authenticity of data is analyzed through application of standard deviation. The results indicate that work life balance and job satisfaction share significant relationship.

Chaudhry (2012) conducted research to analyze relationship of job satisfaction and job stress among university teachers. Gender, nature of job, experience, nature of job and sector was used as base for the study. Data is collected from six Pakistan universities three public and three private. Data is analyzed using descriptive statistics, inferential statistics, cross tabulation and frequency distributions. The results show that job stress has insignificant relationship with job satisfaction.

Nazari & Emami (2012) conducted the research to analyze the relationship between occupational stress and job satisfaction among Academics in Iran. Data was collected from 500 respondents of universities. Data is analyzed using SPSS, Correlation and Multiple Regression analysis. The results indicate that not relationship exists between job satisfaction and occupational stress.

Usman, Akbar, & Ramzan (2013) conducted research to evaluate the effect of salary and job stress on job satisfaction of faculty. Data is collected from 100 respondents and analyzed through descriptive statistics, correlation and Regression analysis. Results reveal that no relationship exists between job satisfaction and occupational stress.

III. THE STUDY

A. Hypothesis

H1_0 = There is no relationship between job stress and job satisfaction.

H1_1 = There is a relationship between Job Stress and job satisfaction.

H2_0 = There is no relationship between work life balance and job satisfaction.

H2_1 = There is a relationship between work life balance and job satisfaction.
B. Design

Research design is a logical plan of research, a strategy of inquiry, that explain the way research is conducted from the underlying assumptions to research design, and data collection (Myers, 2009).

a. Positivism:

Knowledge is based on reality that is observable is believe on which Positivism is deeply relied. Positivist Paradigm states that reality is visible, measureable and can be explained with logical analysis and described objectively (Tuli, 2010).

Quantitative research defend positivism paradigm it assert science is rooted in objective verification of research decisions, it regards situations, reality and experience as measureable and can be generalized for future studies. (Gall, Gall, & Borg, 2003, Onwuegbuzie & Leech, 2005). Most appropriate paradigm for a quantitative sort of study is Positivism so positivism approach is adopted for the study.

Research paradigm guide the research process therefore selection of appropriate paradigm is critical to selection of appropriate design of research as well as ontology, epistemology and methodology (Tuli, 2010).

Research concepts regarding ontology argues that knowledge exist it should be studied using objective methods and discovered using quantitative methods. (Bassey, 1995).

Considering the ontology approach this study is examining the relationship between work life balances, job stress and job satisfaction among university teachers.

Research concept regarding epistemology is to maintain a framework to get knowledge closer to reality and is reliable by empirically observing and manipulating the reality often using experimental methods. (Denzin & Lincoln, 2000). Considering the epistemology approach this study has drawn results by applying statistical analysis on collected data.

Research concepts regarding methodology argue that objectivity, measurability of variable and hypothesis linked to general causal explanation testing is the essence (Sarantakos, 2004); and (Marczyk, DeMatteo, & Festinger, 2005).

Considering methodology approach this study has used survey research.

b. Population:

Entire group of persons that conform to certain specification that are of interest to the researchers and on which results of the study can be generalized is termed as population (Polit & Hungler, 1999). All faculty members working in university of Gujarat Hafiz Hayat Campus are Population of the study.

c. Sample Size:

Sample is termed as portion of research population selected to make a part of the study and are envoy of the entire research population (LoBiondo-Wood & Haber, 1998). Sample of the study is constitute of 171 university teachers. Sample size is determined using (Yamane, 1973) formula:

$$n = \frac{N}{1 + (N \times e^2)}$$

n = Sample Size.
N = Total Population.
e = Margin of Error.
1 = Constant Number.

$$n = \frac{300}{1 + (300 \times 0.05^2)}$$

n= 171

C. Measures

Questionnaire has been used as data collection tool for the study. Questionnaire is a good quantitative research tool for analyzing attitudes and behavior of humans. (Francis, et al., 2004). The questionnaire is composed of four parts. The first section deals with job stress measured with an 8 item scaled Questionnaire proposed by (Ning, 2004, Verret, 2012). Each item is measured on five point Likert Scale. Ranges from strongly agree at 1 to strongly disagree at 5. The second section deals with job satisfaction measured with a 14 item scaled questionnaire proposed by (Mukhtar, 2012). Each item in the scale is measured by five point Likert Scale. Ranges from strongly agree at 1 to strongly disagree at 5. The third section deals with work life balance measured with a 14 item scaled questionnaire proposed by (Hayman, 2005). Each item is measured by seven point scale ranges from not at all at 1 to all the time at 7. The fourth part of questionnaire constitute...
demographic information age, gender, marital status and experience are included in this section.

IV. Procedures

Data has been analyzed through Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS). Descriptive Statistics, Correlation and Regression analysis has been applied to draw the results of the study.

V. Results

The respondents in the study 43% were Male and 57% were Female. The majority of the respondents in the study were married (53%) and 47% of the respondents reported themselves as unmarried.

All the respondents in the study ranged in age from 21 years to 50 years. 68% of the respondents were between the range of 21 to 30 years, 25% of the respondents were between the range of 31 to 40 years and 7% of the respondents were between the ranges of 41 to 50 years. 25% of the respondents in the study have less than 1 year of experience in the University, 32% of the respondents have experience between the ranges of 1 to 3 years, and 43% of the respondents have experience of more than 3 years.

Results in Table II indicate that Cronbach Alpha for Job Stress, Work Life Balance and Job Satisfaction were .627, .638 & .850 respectively.

Based on the given statistics H1a is not rejected. The results show that there is insignificant relationship between job stress and job satisfaction.

Based on the given statistics hypothesis H2a is supported. The results show that a significant and positive correlation exists between work life balance and job satisfaction. The correlation is moderate in strength.

Results in Table III indicate that Job Stress and Work Life Balance together explain 10.7% of the variation in the Job Satisfaction (R² = .107), (p=4.358, p=.016). An inspection of individual predictors reveal that Work Life Balance (B=.216, p=.005) is positively correlated with Job Satisfaction suggest that high level of Work Life Balance is associated with high level of Job Satisfaction. Occupational Stress (p = .974) is not a predictor of Job Satisfaction.

VI. Conclusion

The research contributes in existing body of knowledge by investigating the relationship of Work Life Balance and Job Stress with Job Satisfaction among University Teachers. The findings of the study confirm both hypotheses. Relationship between Job Stress and Job Satisfaction are found insignificant among University Teachers which are in accordance to the findings of (Nazari & Emami, 2012, Usman, Akbar, & Ramzan, 2013, Qayyum, 2013).

Relationship between work life balance and job satisfaction is found significant and of moderate positive nature which mean increase in Work Life Balance will result in increase in Job Satisfaction which are in accordance to the findings of (Nadeem & Abbas, 2009, Saif, Malik, & Awan, 2011, V.Varatharaj & Vasantha, 2012). Thus none of the two hypotheses is rejected.

VII. Significance of the Study

The study is of significance for the academics, researchers and organizations. The study contributes to existing body of knowledge by providing an insight into relationship exist between work life balances, job stress and job satisfaction among university teachers. Globalization has brought tremendous changes in working conditions thus give rise to various issues and problems for employees.

The study will help to get awareness about the issues and problems faced by employees at work place that will be of beneficial for organizations and institutions to formulate strategies that will booster satisfaction level, minimize job stress and maintain a healthy work life balance among employees thus ultimately enhance organizations effectiveness and efficiency.

The study is also of importance for researchers as it provides a first step initiative for further studies.

VIII. Limitations

The present study suffered from some limitations that must be known before taking into consideration the results of the research. The main limitation of the study is as participant of the study is from a particular university the area of investigation is limited. Due to this factor results of the study cannot be generalized to large population.
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Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Percent (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>43.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>56.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital Status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>52.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unmarried</td>
<td>47.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-30</td>
<td>68.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 1 year</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 to 3 years</td>
<td>31.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>more than 3 years</td>
<td>43.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Job Stress</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(.627)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Job Satisfaction</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>.052</td>
<td>.658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(.850)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Work Life Balance</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td></td>
<td>.326**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td></td>
<td>.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(.638)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values of Cronbach Alpha are given in Parenthesis.
** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Table III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent Variable</th>
<th>Dependent Variable</th>
<th>R Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>T value</th>
<th>Sig</th>
<th>Hypothesis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Job Stress</td>
<td>Job Satisfaction</td>
<td>.107</td>
<td>4.358</td>
<td>.013</td>
<td>.067</td>
<td>.947</td>
<td></td>
<td>H10 Is Accepted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Life Balance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.216</td>
<td>2.915</td>
<td>.005</td>
<td></td>
<td>H2a Is Accepted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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